

# **New Results on Fourth-Hankel Determinant of a Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions**

Youssef Wali Abbas<sup>1</sup>

Fedaa Aeyyd Nayyef<sup>2</sup>

Waggas Galib Atshan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> The General Directorate for Education of Ninevah–Ministry of Education–Iraq.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah, Iraq.

[yousif.21csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq](mailto:yousif.21csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq)<sup>1\*</sup>

fedaa.20csp102@student.uomosul.edu.iq<sup>2</sup>,

waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq<sup>3</sup>

# New Results on Fourth-Hankel Determinant of a Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions

Youssef Wali Abbas<sup>1</sup>

Fedaa Aeyyd Nayyef<sup>2</sup>

Waggas Galib Atshan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> The General Directorate for Education of Ninevah–Ministry of Education–Iraq.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah, Iraq.

[yousif.21csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq](mailto:yousif.21csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq)<sup>1,\*</sup>

[fedaa.20csp102@student.uomosul.edu.iq](mailto:fedaa.20csp102@student.uomosul.edu.iq)<sup>2</sup>,

[waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq](mailto:waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq)<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

This paper studies the fourth Hankel determinant for a subclass of analytic functions, denoted by  $N(\alpha, \mu, e)$ , defined via  $n$ -th order differential subordination involving an exponential function. Sharp coefficient bounds for  $|a_n|$ , where  $n = 2, \dots, 7$ , are obtained, and an upper bound for the fourth Hankel determinant  $H_4(1)$  is established, contributing to the theory of geometric function classes.

**Keywords:** Superordination, Hankel determinant, A Schwarz function, Chebyshev polynomials, Analytic function.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{p}$  denote the class of analytic functions  $p$ , normalize by

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots \quad (1)$$

and satisfying the condition  $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$  in  $D$

It is well known (see [2,3,4, 19,24]) that if  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ , then there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in such that  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ , with

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (z \in D).$$

Recently, Mendiratta et al. [15] introduced the following subclass of analytic functions associated with exponential function. Earliness

Ma and Minda [14] defined subclass of starlike and convex functions using t principle of subordination. Inparticnlar, they introduce for the

$$S^*(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \Phi(z), z \in U \right\}$$

and

$$G^*(\Phi) = \left\{ f \in A : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} < \Phi(z), z \in U \right\}.$$

Mendiratta et al. [15] father introduced the class ors

$$S_e^* = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < e^z, z \in U \right\}. \quad (2)$$

While the associated class

$$G_e^* = \left\{ f \in A : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} < e^z, z \in U \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Was introduced by using an Alexander type relation [13]

These classes are known to de symmetric with respect do the real axis

$$H_q(n) = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{bmatrix}, (a_1 = 1). \quad (4)$$

The Hankel determinant plays a significant role in the theory of singularities [10] in the analysis of power series with integer coefficients [7,17] several estimates of  $H_q(n)$  have been obtained for various sub classes of univalent and bi-univalent function. The case  $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$  is the classic Fekete–szego functional while  $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  has been studied for bi– starlike and bi – convex functions ([3,45,62]). Krishna [13] provided sharp estimates for the Bazilevic class. More recently, Srivastava et al. [21], obtained bounds for  $H_2(2)$  in the class of bi-univalent functions involving symmetric  $q$ -derivative [23], the authors investigated Hankel and Toeplitz determinants in subfamilies of  $q$ -starlike functions associated with conic domain (see also [6,16,22]).

For functions of form (1), the third Hankel determinant is given as:

$$H_3(1) = -a_5a_2^2 + 2a_2a_3a_4 - a_3^3 + a_3a_5 - a_4^2.$$

Interesting results on  $H_3(1)$  were established by Babalola [5], motivating further investigations.

The fourth Hankel determinant has also been studied in for a certain subclass of starlike and convex functions [20]. In this direction, we introduced a new subclasses of analytic functions using third-order differential subordination involving the exponential function and derive sharp bounds for the fourth Hankel determinant  $H_4(1)$  for functions in this class.

## 2-Preliminaries

The definitions and lemmas presented below will be used to establish the main results work .

**Definition 2.1:** [20] Let  $f$  be a function  $f$  of the form (1). The fourth Hankel determinant of  $f$  is defined as:

$$H_4(1) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \end{vmatrix} = -a_4t_1 + a_5t_2 - a_6t_3 + a_7t_4, \quad (5) \quad \text{Where the}$$

coefficients  $t_i$  are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} |t_1| &= |a_2||a_4a_6 - a_5^2| + |a_3||a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| - |a_4||a_3a_5 - a_4^2|, \\ |t_2| &= |a_4a_6 - a_5^2| - |a_2||a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| + |a_3||a_3a_5 - a_4^2|, \\ |t_3| &= |a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| + |a_2||a_2a_6 - a_3a_5| - |a_4||a_2a_4 - a_3^2|, \\ |t_4| &= |a_3||a_2a_4 - a_3^2| - |a_4||a_4 - a_2a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind are defined on the interval  $(-1,1)$  for a real variable  $x$  as follows:

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$$

and

$$U_n(x) = \frac{\sin[(n+1)\arccos x]}{\sin(\arccos x)} = \frac{\sin[(n+1)\arccos x]}{\sqrt{1-x^2}},$$

Now, consider the function

$$H(t, z) = \frac{1}{1-2t+z^2}, t \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

It is well-known that if  $t = \cos \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, z) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin[(n+1)\alpha]}{\sin \alpha} z^n \\ &= 1 + 2 \cos \alpha z + (3 \cos^2 \alpha - \sin^2 \alpha) z^2 + (8 \cos^3 \alpha - 4 \cos \alpha) z^3 + \dots, z \in U, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$H(t, z) = 1 + U_1(t) z + U_2(t) z^2 + U_3(t) z^3 + U_4(t) z^4 + \dots, t \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), z \in U$$

where

$$U_n(t) = \frac{\sin[(n+1)\arccos t]}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. These polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation:

$$U_{n+1}(t) = 2tU_n(t) - U_{n-2}(t).$$

specifically

$$U_1(t) = 2t, U_2(t) = 4t^2 - 1, U_3(t) = 8t^3 - 4t, \text{ (for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\text{)}.$$

Mendiratta et al. [79] discussed the subclass  $S_1^*$  of analytic functions associated with exponential function.

**Lemma 2. 1:** [15] If function  $f \in S_1^*$  is of the form (2), then

$$|a_2| \leq 1, |a_3| \leq \frac{3}{4}, |a_4| \leq \frac{17}{36}, |a_5| \leq 1,$$

where  $S_1^*$  denote the class of analytic functions to third Hankel determinant.

**Lemma2. 2:** [20] If the function  $f \in S_g^*$  and of the form (1), then

$$|a_2| \leq 1, |a_3| \leq \frac{3}{4}, |a_4| \leq \frac{1}{18}, |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{96}, |a_6| \leq \frac{1}{600}, |a_7| \leq \frac{2401}{3600}.$$

**Lemma2. 3:** [20] If the function  $f \in G_g^*$  and of the form (1), then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |a_3| \leq \frac{3}{12}, |a_4| \leq \frac{1}{72}, |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{480}, |a_6| \leq \frac{1}{3600}, |a_7| \leq \frac{343}{3600}.$$

Here, we discuss a new subclass of analytic functions using the subordination.

**Lemma 2.4:** [11] If  $P$  be a class of all analytic functions  $p(z)$  of the form:

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad (7)$$

with  $p(0)=1$  and  $\Re\{p(z)\} > 0$  for all  $z \in U$ . Then  $|p_n| \leq 2$ , for every  $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . This disparity is sharp for each  $n$

**Definition 2.2:** A function  $f \in A$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$  if the following condition holds:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{z} + (1 - \mu)f'(z) + z\mu f''(z) + z^2 f'''(z) \right] \prec \mathcal{L}(e, z), \quad (8)$$

where  $\mu \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\mathcal{L}(e, z) = 1 + U_1(t)z + U_2(t)z^2 + U_3(t)z^3 + U_4(t)z^4 + \dots, \quad e \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), z \in U.$$

The main findings of our current inquiry will now be stated and proven.

We begin here by finding the estimates on the coefficients  $|a_n|$  and  $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ , for functions in the class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $f$  be a function of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U)$$

And suppose that  $f$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$

A Then the follow sharp coefficient bounds hold:

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{4}{3 - 2\mu} \alpha, |a_3| \leq \frac{12}{7} \alpha, |a_4| \leq \frac{36}{13 + 12\mu} \alpha, \quad |a_5| \leq \frac{108}{21 + 40\mu} \alpha$$

$$|a_6| \leq \frac{216}{31 + 90\mu} \alpha, |a_7| \leq \frac{1044}{43 + 168\mu} \alpha,$$

where  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$ , then there exists an analytic function  $E$  defined in the following subordination relation holds:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{z} + z(1 - \mu)f''(z) + z^2\mu f'''(z) \right] = \mathcal{L}(e, E(z)).$$

Where  $L(e, z) = 1 + u_1(t)z + u_2(t)z^2 + \dots$  is generating function involving chebyshev polynomials of the second by the principle of subordination, there exists a Schwarz function  $E(z)$ , given by

$$E(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad z \in U,$$

Such that the function  $B(z) = \frac{1+E(z)}{1-E(z)}$

Has the power series expansion

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) = & 1 + 2 p_1 z + 2(p_2 + p_1^2)z^2 + 2[p_3 + p_1(2 p_2 + p_1^2)]z^3 \\ & + 2[p_4 + p_2^2 + p_1^2(3 p_2 + p_1^2) + 2 p_1 p_3]z^4 \\ & + 2[p_5 + 2 p_2(p_3 + 2p_1^3) + 3p_1(p_1 p_3 + p_2^2) + (p_1(2p_4 + p_1^4))]z^5 \\ & + 2[p_6 + p_1^3(4 p_3 + p_1^3) + p_1^2(3p_4 + 5p_2 p_1^2) + 2p_1(p_5 + 3p_2 p_3) + p_3^2 \\ & + p_2^2(p_2 + 6p_1^2) + 2 p_2 p_4]z^6 \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now, Since  $f$  is the stranded class  $A$ , We Can expand the left-hand side of operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{z} + z(1 - \mu)f''(z) + z^2\mu f'''(z) \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{\alpha} [1 + (3 - 2\mu)a_2 z + 7a_3 z^2 + (13 + 12\mu)a_4 z^3 + (21 + 40\mu)a_5 z^4 \\ & + (31 + 90\mu)a_6 z^5 + (43 + 168\mu)a_7 z^6 \\ & + \dots]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

comparing the coefficients of  $z^n$  form the two expansion (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}((3 - 2\mu)a_2) = 2 p_1,$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(7a_3) = 2(p_2 + p_1^2),$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}((13 + 12\mu)a_4) = 2[p_3 + p_1(2p_2 + p_1^2)],$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}((21 + 40\mu)a_5) = 2[p_4 + p_2^2 + p_1^2(3p_2 + p_1^2) + 2p_1p_3],$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}((31 + 90\mu)a_6) = 2[p_5 + 2p_2(p_3 + 2p_1^3) + 3p_1(p_1p_3 + p_2^2) + (p_1(2p_4 + p_1^4))],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\alpha}((43 + 168\mu)a_7) \\ = 2[p_6 + p_1^3(4p_3 + p_1^3) + p_1^2(3p_4 + 5p_2p_1^2) + 2p_1(p_5 + 3p_2p_3) + p_3^2 \\ + p_2^2(p_2 + 6p_1^2) + 2p_2p_4]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying the standard bound  $|p_n| \leq 1$  for Schwarz function and applying Lemma 4, we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{4}{(3 - 2\mu)} \alpha, \quad (11)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{12}{7} \alpha, \quad (12)$$

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{36}{13 + 12\mu} \alpha, \quad (13)$$

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{108}{21 + 40\mu} \alpha, \quad (14)$$

$$|a_6| \leq \frac{216}{31 + 90\mu} \alpha, \quad (15)$$

$$|a_7| \leq \frac{1044}{43 + 168\mu} \alpha. \quad (16)$$

This complete the proof .  $\square$

In the following theorem, estimates on  $|H_4(1)|$  are determined for  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$ .

**Theorem 2:** Let  $f$  be a function of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U)$$

And suppose that  $f$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$ . then the following estimate for the fourth Hankel determinant  $H_4(1)$  holds:

$$|H_4(1)| \leq \frac{-111974(2\mu - 3)(90\mu + 31)(40\mu + 21)\alpha^4 L_1(\delta, u) + 139968(90\mu + 31)(12\mu + 13)^2 \alpha^3 L_2(\delta, u)}{L(\delta, u)} - \frac{93312(12\mu + 13)^2(40\mu + 21)^2 \alpha^3 L_3(\delta, u) + 672(90\mu + 31)^2 \alpha^2 L_4(\delta, u)}{L(\delta, u)},$$

where

$$L(\delta, u) = 49(12\mu + 13)^4(2\mu - 3)^2(90\mu + 31)(40\mu + 21)^3$$

$$L_1(\delta, u) = 5529600\mu^6 - 6289920\mu^5 - 7939584\mu^4 + 3821168\mu^3 + 19330358\mu^2 + 13831923\mu + 2364054,$$

$$L_2(\delta, u) = 12441600\alpha\mu^5 + (7822080\alpha - 141120)\mu^4 + (2282496\alpha - 4407648)\mu^3 + (-9231232\alpha + 936684)\mu^2 + (-7799688\alpha + 7738668)\mu - 1318212\alpha + 1874691,$$

$$L_3(\delta, u) = (2073600\alpha + 967680)\mu^5 + (5760\alpha - 2203488)\mu^4 + (3538848\alpha - 405048)\mu^3 + (1919952\alpha + 2910348)\mu^2 + (-908008\alpha - 744282)\mu - 163380\alpha - 257985,$$

$$L_4(\delta, u) = -7776\mu^4 + (46080\alpha + 21600)\mu^3 + (52896\alpha - 13500)\mu^2 + (2216\alpha - 324)\mu - 1092\alpha - 2673.$$

**Proof:** Let  $f$  be a function of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U)$$

And suppose that  $f$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$

By Deficient (2,1) the fourth Hankel determinant  $H_4(1)$  is given by

$$|H_4(1)| = |a_7 t_4 - a_6 t_3 + a_5 t_2 - a_4 t_1|,$$

Where the coefficients  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4$  are defined by

$$|t_1| = |a_2||a_4 a_6 - a_5^2| + |a_3||a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| - |a_4||a_3 a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_2| = |a_4 a_6 - a_5^2| - |a_2||a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| + |a_3||a_3 a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_3| = |a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| + |a_2||a_2 a_6 - a_3 a_5| - |a_4||a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|,$$

$$|t_4| = |a_3||a_2 a_4 - a_2^2| - |a_4||a_4 - a_2 a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|.$$

Inserting (11) – (16) in (7), we get

$$|t_1| = \frac{31104 \alpha^5 L_1(\delta, u)}{R_1(\delta, u)}, \quad (17)$$

$$|t_2| = \frac{1296 \alpha^2 L_2(\delta, u)}{R_2(\delta, u)}, \quad (18)$$

$$|t_3| = \frac{432 \alpha^2 L_3(\delta, u)}{R_3(\delta, u)}, \quad (19)$$

$$|t_4| = -\frac{96 \alpha^2 L_4(\delta, u)}{R_4(\delta, u)}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$R_1(\delta, u) = 43(2\mu - 3)(90\mu + 31)(40\mu + 21)^2(12\mu + 13)^3,$$

$$R_2(\delta, u) = 49(90\mu + 31)(2\mu - 3)(12\mu + 13)^2(40\mu + 21)^2,$$

$$R_3(\delta, u) = 49(90\mu + 31)(40\mu + 21)(2\mu - 3)^2(12\mu + 13)^2,$$

$$R_4(\delta, u) = 7(40\mu + 21)(2\mu - 3)^2(12\mu + 13)^2.$$

Using (17) – (20) in (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_4(1)| \\ & \leq \frac{-111974(2\mu - 3)(90\mu + 31)(40\mu + 21)\alpha^4 L_1(\delta, u) + 139968(90\mu + 31)(12\mu + 13)^2 \alpha^3 L_2(\delta, u)}{L(\delta, u)} \\ & - \frac{93312(12\mu + 13)^2(40\mu + 21)^2 \alpha^3 L_3(\delta, u) + 672(90\mu + 31)^2 \alpha^2 L_4(\delta, u)}{L(\delta, u)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_1(\delta, u) = 5529600\mu^6 - 6289920\mu^5 - 7939584\mu^4 + 3821168\mu^3 + 19330358\mu^2 + 13831923\mu + 2364054,$$

$$L_2(\delta, u) = 12441600\alpha\mu^5 + (7822080\alpha - 141120)\mu^4 + (2282496\alpha - 4407648)\mu^3 + (-9231232\alpha + 936684)\mu^2 + (-7799688\alpha + 7738668)\mu - 1318212\alpha + 1874691,$$

$$L_3(\delta, u) = (2073600\alpha + 967680)\mu^5 + (5760\alpha - 2203488)\mu^4 + (3538848\alpha - 405048)\mu^3 + (1919952\alpha + 2910348)\mu^2 + (-908008\alpha - 744282)\mu - 163380\alpha - 257985,$$

$$L_4(\delta, u) = -7776\mu^4 + (46080\alpha + 21600)\mu^3 + (52896\alpha - 13500)\mu^2 + (2216\alpha - 324)\mu - 1092\alpha - 2673.$$

□

In case  $\mu = 0$ , we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1:** If function  $f$  of form (1) belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$ , then

$$|H_4(1)| \leq \approx -\frac{825676789240}{911067339} a^4 + \frac{3869374792502}{4415172489} a^3 + \frac{259842}{480024727} a^2.$$

In case  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\mu = 0$ , we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2:** If function  $f$  of form (1) belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$ , then

$$|H_4(1)| \leq \approx -29.89227843.$$

By applying Lemma 1 and using  $|a_6|, |a_7|$  from Theorem 2 in fourth Hankel determinant (7), the following theorem emerges:

**Theorem 3:** let the function  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$  be of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U)$$

Then, the fourth Hankel determinant satisfies the inequality

$$|H_4(1)| \leq -Y_1(\delta, u) + Y_2(\delta, u) - Y_3(\delta, u) + Y_4(\delta, u),$$

Where the farms are defined by:

$$Y_1(\delta, u) = \frac{2533\alpha}{24(31 + 90u)} - \frac{1271447}{1679616}, \quad Y_2(\delta, u) = -60 * \frac{-60\alpha}{31 + 90u} - \frac{229}{1728},$$

$$Y_3(\delta, u) = 81648 * \frac{81648\alpha^2}{(31 + 90u)^2} - \frac{6115\alpha}{24(31 + 90u)}, \quad Y_4(\delta, u) = \frac{-19343\alpha}{36(43 + 168u)}$$

**Proof:** Let  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, \epsilon)$ . Then the fourth Hankel determinant can be rewrite as:

$$|H_4(1)| = -a_4 t_1 + a_5 t_2 - a_6 t_3 + a_7 t_4,$$

where

$$|t_1| = |a_2||a_4 a_6 - a_5^2| + |a_3||a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| - |a_4||a_3 a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_2| = |a_4 a_6 - a_5^2| - |a_2||a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| + |a_3||a_3 a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_3| = |a_3 a_6 - a_4 a_5| + |a_2||a_2 a_6 - a_3 a_5| - |a_4||a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|,$$

$$|t_4| = |a_3||a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| - |a_4||a_4 - a_2 a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|.$$

By applying Lemma 1 and using  $|a_6|, |a_7|$  from Theorem 2 in fourth Hankel determinant (7), we get

$$|t_1| = |a_2||a_4a_6 - a_5^2| + |a_3||a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| - |a_4||a_3a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_1| = \frac{447\alpha}{2(31 + 90u)} - \frac{74791}{46656}, \quad (21)$$

$$|t_2| = |a_4a_6 - a_5^2| - |a_2||a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| + |a_3||a_3a_5 - a_4^2|,$$

$$|t_2| = \frac{-60\alpha}{31 + 90u} - \frac{229}{1728}, \quad (22)$$

$$|t_3| = |a_3a_6 - a_4a_5| + |a_2||a_2a_6 - a_3a_5| - |a_4||a_2a_4 - a_3^2|,$$

$$|t_3| = \frac{378\alpha}{31 + 90u} - \frac{6115}{5184}, \quad (23)$$

$$|t_4| = |a_3||a_2a_4 - a_2^2| - |a_4||a_4 - a_2a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|,$$

$$|t_4| = -\frac{667}{1296}. \quad (24)$$

Inserting values (21) – (24) in (5), we obtain

$$|H_4(1)| \leq -Y_1(\delta, u) + Y_2(\delta, u) - Y_3(\delta, u) + Y_4(\delta, u),$$

where

$$Y_1(\delta, u) = \frac{2533\alpha}{24(31 + 90u)} - \frac{1271447}{1679616}, \quad Y_2(\delta, u) = -60 * \frac{-60\alpha}{31 + 90u} - \frac{229}{1728},$$

$$Y_3(\delta, u) = 81648 * \frac{81648\alpha^2}{(31 + 90u)^2} - \frac{6115\alpha}{24(31 + 90u)}, \quad Y_4(\delta, u) = \frac{-19343\alpha}{36(43 + 168u)}.$$

In case  $\mu = 0$ , we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3:** If function  $f$  of form (1) belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$ , then

$$|H_4(1)| \leq -\Theta_1(\delta, u) + \Theta_2(\delta, u) - \Theta_3(\delta, u) + \Theta_4(\delta, u),$$

where

$$\Theta_1(\delta, u) = \frac{2533\alpha}{744} - \frac{1271447}{1679616}, \quad \Theta_2(\delta, u) = -\frac{60\alpha}{31} - \frac{229}{1728},$$

$$\Theta_3(\delta, u) = \frac{81648\alpha^2}{961} - \frac{6115\alpha}{744}, \quad \Theta_4(\delta, u) = -\frac{19343\alpha}{1548}.$$

In case  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\mu = 0$ , we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 4:** If function  $f$  of form (1) belongs to the subclass  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, \mu, e)$ , then

$$|H_4(1)| \leq \approx -93.95348065.$$

## References

- [1] S. A. Al-Ameedee ,W. G. Atshan and F. A .Al-Maamori ,Second Hankel determinant for certain Subclasses of bi- univalent functions , Journal of physics: Conference Series , 1664 (2020) 012044 ,1-9.
- [2] S. A. Al-Ameedee ,W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori ,Coefficients estimates of bi-univalent functions defined by new subclass function ,Journal of Physics :Conference Series ,1530 (2020) 012105 ,1-8.
- [3] W. G. Atshan, I. A. R. Rahman and A. A. Lupas, Some results of new subclasses for bi-univalent functions Using Quasi-subordination, *Symmetry*, 13(9)(2021), 1653, 1-12.
- [4] W. G. Atshan , S. Yalcin and R. A. Hadi ,Coefficients estimates for special subclass of k-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions ,*Mathematics for Applications*, 9(2) (2020) ,83-90 .
- [5] K. O. Babalola, On Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions, *Inequal. Theory Appl.*, (2010), 6, 1–7.
- [6] M. Çağlar, E. Deniz and H.M. Srivastava, Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi –univalent functions, *Turk. J. Math.*, 41 (3) (2017), 694–706.
- [7] D. G. Cantor, Power series with integral coefficients, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 69 (3) (1963), 362–366.
- [8] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, Initial coefficients and fourth hankel determinant for certain analytic functions, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, (21) (2) (2020), 763–779.
- [9] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, S.S. Kumarand, V. Ravichandran, Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* 45(1) (2019), 213–232.
- [10] P. Dienes, *The Taylor Series: An Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*; NewYork-Dover:Mineola, NY, USA, (1957).
- [11] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, In : *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 259, Springer - Verlag, New York, Berlin, Hidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).
- [12] S. P. Goyal, O. Singh and R. Mukherjee, Certain results on a subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions associated with coe cient estimates and quasi-subordination, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 5(1) (2016),79-85.
- [13] D.V. Krishna and T. R. Reddy, Second Hankel determinant for the class of Bazilevic functions, *Stud. Univ.Babes-Bolyai Math.* 60(3)(2015), 413–420.
- [14] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, *Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis*, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang and S. Zhang, eds., Int. Press, (1994), 157-169.
- [15] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 38(1) (2015), 365–386.
- [16] H. Orhan, N. Magesh, and ,J. Yamini, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 40(3) (2016),679-687.
- [17] G. Polya, I. J. Schoenberg, Remarks on de la Vallee Poussin means and convex conformal maps of the circle, *Pac. J. Math.*, 8(2) (1958), 259–334.
- [18] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*1(1) (1966), 111–122.

- [19] C. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *Mathematika*, 14(1) (1967), 108–112.
- [20] I. A. R. Rahman, W. G. Atshan and G. I. Oros, New concept on fourth Hankel determinant of a certain subclass of analytic functions, *Afrika Matematika*, (2022) 33:7, 1-15.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, S. Altinkaya and S.Yalcin, Hankel determinant for a subclass of bi – univalent functions defined by using a symmetric q-derivative operator, *Filomat*, 32(2) (2018), 503–516.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, S. (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*; World Scientific Publishing Company: London, UK, (1992).
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, Q. Z Ahmad, N. Khan and B. Khan, Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for a subclass of q-starlike functions associated with a general conic domain, *Mathematics*,7(2) ( 2019), 181, 15 pages.  
estimate problems, *Applied Mathematics Comput.*, 218(23) (2012), 11461-11465.
- [24] S. Yalcin, W. G. Atshan and H. Z. Hassan, Coefficients assessment for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions related with quasi-subordination, *Publications De L'Institut Mathematique, Nouvelle s#erie*, tome 108(122)(2020), 155-162.